

Bibliography

- Abellán, C., Acín, A., Alarcón, A., and The BIG Bell Test Collaboration (2018). Challenging local realism with human choices. *Nature*, 557:212–216. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0085-3.
- Adlam, E. C. (2018). Quantum mechanics and global determinism. *Quanta*, 7(1):40–53.
- Anscombe, G. E. M. (1971). *Causality and Determination*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Aspect, A., Dalibard, J., and Roger, G. (1982a). Experimental test of Bell's inequalities using time-varying analyzers. *Physical Review Letters*, 49:1804–1807.
- Aspect, A., Grangier, P., and Roger, G. (1982b). Experimental realization of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm *Gedankenexperiment*: A new violation of Bell's inequalities. *Physical Review Letters*, 49(2):91–94.
- Balashov, Y. (2010). *Persistence and Spacetime*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Barnes, E., and Cameron, R. P. (2011). Back to the open future. *Philosophical Perspectives*, 25(1):1–26.
- Baron, S. (2012). Presentism and causation revisited. *Philosophical Papers*, 41(1):1–21.
- Beer, M. (1994). Temporal indexicals and the passage of time. In Oaklander, L. N., and Smith, Q., editors, *The New Theory of Time*, pages 87–93. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- Bell, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox. *Physics*, 1:195–200.
- Bell, J. S. (1987a). *Speakable and Unspeakable in Quantum Mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Bell, J. S. (1987b). The theory of local beables. In Bell (1987a), pages 52–62.
- Bell, J. S. (1997). Indeterminism and nonlocality. In Driessen, A., and Suarez, A., editors, *Mathematical Undecidability, Quantum Nonlocality and the Question of the Existence of God*, pages 83–100. Springer, Berlin.
- Belnap, N. (1992). Branching space-time. *Synthese*, 92(3):385–434. See also the postprint, Belnap (2003).
- Belnap, N. (1999). Concrete transitions. In Meggle, G., editor, *Actions, Norms, Values: Discussions with Georg Henrik von Wright*, pages 227–236. de Gruyter, Berlin.
- Belnap, N. (2002). EPR-like “funny business” in the theory of branching space-times. In Placek, T., and Butterfield, J., editors, *Non-locality and Modality*, pages 293–315. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Belnap, N. (2003a). Agents in branching space-times. *Journal of Sun-Yatsen University*, 43:147–166.
- Belnap, N. (2003b). Branching space-time. *Pittsburgh PhilSci-Archive*. <http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/1003/>.
- Belnap, N. (2003c). No-common-cause EPR-like funny business in branching space-times. *Philosophical Studies*, 114:199–221.
- Belnap, N. (2005a). Agents and agency in branching space-times. In Vanderveken, D., editor, *Logic, Thought and Action*, pages 291–313. Springer, Berlin.

- Belnap, N. (2005b). A theory of causation: *Causae causantes* (originating causes) as inus conditions in branching space-times. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 56:221–253.
- Belnap, N. (2007). Propensities and probabilities. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics*, 38:593–625.
- Belnap, N. (2011). Prolegomenon to norms in branching space-times. *Journal of Applied Logic*, 9(2):83–94.
- Belnap, N. (2012). Newtonian determinism to branching space-times indeterminism in two moves. *Synthese*, 188:5–21. doi:10.1007/s11229-012-0063-5.
- Belnap, N., Müller, T., and Placek, T. (2021). New foundations for branching space-times. *Studia Logica*, 109:239–284.
- Belnap, N., Perloff, M., and Xu, M. (2001). *Facing the Future: Agents and Choices in Our Indeterminist World*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Belnap, N., and Szabó, L. E. (1996). Branching space-time analysis of the GHZ theorem. *Foundations of Physics*, 26(8):982–1002.
- Belot, G. (1995). New work for counterpart theorists: determinism. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 46:185–195.
- Belot, G. (2011). Background-independence. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 43(10):2865–2884.
- Brierley, S., Kosowski, A., Markiewicz, M., Paterek, T., and Przysiężna, A. (2015). Non-classicality of temporal correlations. *Physical Review Letters*, 115:120404.
- Briggs, R. A., and Forbes, G. A. (2019). The future, and what might have been. *Philosophical Studies*, 176(2):505–532.
- Butterfield, J. (1984). Seeing the present. *Mind*, 93:161–176.
- Butterfield, J. (1989). The hole truth. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 40(1): 1–28.
- Butterfield, J. (1992). Bell's theorem: What it takes. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 43(1):41–83.
- Butterfield, J. (2005). Determinism and indeterminism. In *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, volume 3. Routledge, London.
- Butterfield, J. (2018). Peaceful coexistence: Examining Kent's relativistic solution to the quantum measurement problem. In Ozawa, M., Butterfield, J., Halvorson, H., Rédei, M., Kitajima, Y., and Buscemi, F., editors, *Reality and Measurement in Algebraic Quantum Theory*, pages 277–314. Springer, Singapore.
- Callender, C. (2017). *What Makes Time Special?* Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Choquet-Bruhat, Y., and Geroch, R. (1969). Global aspects of the Cauchy problem in general relativity. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 14:329–335.
- Chruściel, P. T. (1991). On uniqueness in the large of solutions of Einstein's equations ("Strong Cosmic Censorship"). In *Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematics and its Applications*, volume 27. Australian National University, Canberra.
- Chruściel, P. T. (2011). Elements of causality theory. Technical report, University of Vienna. arXiv:1110.6706v1 [gr-qc] 31 Oct 2011.
- Chruściel, P. T., and Isenberg, J. (1993). Nonisometric vacuum extensions of vacuum maximal globally hyperbolic spacetimes. *Physical Review D*, 48(4):1616–1628.
- Clarke, C. (1976). Space-time singularities. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 49:17–23.
- Clauser, J. F., and Horne, M. A. (1974). Experimental consequences of objective local theories. *Physical Review D*, 10:526–535.

- Clifton, R., and Hogarth, M. (1995). The definability of objective becoming in Minkowski spacetime. *Synthese*, 103(3):355–387.
- Colbeck, R., and Renner, R. (2011). No extension of quantum theory can have improved predictive power. *Nature Communications*, 2:1–5.
- Colbeck, R., and Renner, R. (2012). Free randomness can be amplified. *Nature Physics*, 8(6):450–453.
- Costa, J., Girão, P. M., Natário, J., and Silva, J. (2015). On the global uniqueness for the Einstein–Maxwell–scalar field system with a cosmological constant. Part 3: Mass inflation and extendability of solutions. arXiv:1406.7261v1 [gr-qc].
- Diaconis, P., Holmes, S., and Montgomery, R. (2007). Dynamical bias in the coin toss. *SIAM Review*, 49(2):211–235. doi:10.1137/S0036144504446436.
- Earman, J. (2006). Aspects of determinism in modern physics. In Butterfield, J., and Earman, J., editors, *Handbook of the Philosophy of Physics*, pages 1369–1434. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Earman, J. (2008). Pruning some branches from branching space-times. In Dieks, D., editor, *The Ontology of Spacetime II*, pages 187–206. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Eddington, A. S. (1949). *The Nature of Physical World*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Eddington, A. S. (1953). *Space, Time, Gravitation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Ehlers, J., and Geroch, R. (2004). Equation of motion of small bodies in relativity. *Annals of Physics*, 309(1):232–236.
- Einstein, A., Born, M., and Born, H. (1971). *The Born-Einstein Letters: Correspondence Between Albert Einstein and Max and Hedwig Born from 1916–1955, with Commentaries by Max Born*. Macmillan, London.
- Einstein, A., and Grossmann, M. (1913). Entwurf einer verallgemeinerten Relativitätstheorie und eine Theorie der Gravitation. *Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik*, 62: 225–261.
- Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., and Rosen, N. (1935). Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? *Physical Review*, 47(10):777–780.
- Ellis, G. F. R. (2006). Physics in the real universe: time and space-time. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 38:1797–1824.
- Esfeld, M. (2015). Bell’s theorem and the issue of determinism and indeterminism. *Foundations of Physics*, 45(5):471–482.
- Fine, A. (1982). Hidden variables, joint probability, and the Bell inequalities. *Physical Review Letters*, 48:291–295.
- Fine, K. (2005). *Modality and Tense*, chapter 7, “The Varieties of Necessity,” pages 235–260. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Gale, R. M. (1963). Some metaphysical statements about time. *Journal of Philosophy*, 60(9):225–237.
- Gigerenzer, G., Swijtink, Z., Porter, T., Daston, L., Beatty, J., and Krüger, L. (1989). *The Empire of Chance. How Probability Changed Science and Everyday Life*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Giustina, M., Mech, A., Ramelow, S., Wittmann, B., Kofler, J., Beyer, J., Lita, A., Calkins, B., Gerrits, T., Nam, S. W., Ursin, R., and Zeilinger, A. (2013). Bell violation using entangled photons without the fair-sampling assumption. *Nature*, 497:227.
- Gödel, K. (1949). Some observations about the relationship between relativity theory and Kantian philosophy. In Feferman, S., Dawson, J. W., Goldfarb, W., Parsons, C., and

- Solovay, R. M., editors, *Collected Works*, volume 3, pages 230–260. Oxford University Press, Oxford. Edition published 1995.
- Goldstein, S., Norsen, T., Tausk, D. V., and Zanghi, N. (2011). Bell's theorem. *Scholarpedia*, 6(10):8378. revision #91049.
- Greenberger, D. M., Horne, M. A., and Zeilinger, A. (1989). Going beyond Bell's theorem. In Kafatos, M., editor, *Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory, and Conceptions of the Universe*, pages 69–72. Kluwer, Dordrecht. Reprint available as arXiv:0712.0921.
- Hacking, I. (2006). *The Emergence of Probability*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition.
- Hájíček, P. (1971a). Bifurcate space-time. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 12(1):157–160.
- Hájíček, P. (1971b). Causality in non-Hausdorff space-times. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 21:75–84.
- Hawking, S. W., and Ellis, G. F. R. (1973). *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hensen, B., Bernien, H., Dréau, A. E., Reiserer, A., Kalb, N., Blok, M. S., Ruitenberg, J., Vermeulen, R. F. L., Schouten, R. N., Abellán, C., Amaya, W., Pruneri, V., Mitchell, M. W., Markham, M., Twitchen, D. J., Elkouss, D., Wehner, S., Taminiau, T. H., and Hanson, R. (2015). Loophole-free Bell inequality violation using electron spins separated by 1.3 kilometres. *Nature*, 526:682.
- Hestevold, H. S. (2008). Presentism: Through thick and thin. *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly*, 89:325–347.
- Hirsch, M. W. (1976). *Differential Topology*. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Hofer-Szabó, G. (2008). Separate- versus common-common-cause-type derivations of the Bell inequalities. *Synthese*, 163(2):199–215.
- Hofer-Szabó, G., Rédei, M., and Szabó, L. E. (1999). On Reichenbach's common cause principle and Reichenbach's notion of common cause. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 50:377–399.
- Hofer-Szabó, G., Rédei, M., and Szabó, L. E. (2013). *The Principle of the Common Cause*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Humphreys, P. (1985). Why propensities cannot be probabilities. *Philosophical Review*, 94:557–570.
- Humphreys, P. (2004). Some thoughts on Wesley Salmon's contributions to the philosophy of probability. *Philosophy of Science*, 71:942–949.
- James, W. (1884). The dilemma of determinism. *Unitarian Review*, 22(3):193–224. Reprinted as Chapter 5 of his *The Will to Believe*. New York 1896 and later reprints.
- Jarrett, J. (1984). On the physical significance of the locality conditions in the Bell arguments. *Noûs*, 18:569–589.
- Kervaire, M. A. (1960). A manifold which does not admit any differentiable structure. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 34(1):257–270.
- Kripke, S. (1980). *Naming and Necessity*. Basil Blackwell, London. Based on lectures presented in 1970 and first published in 1972.
- Lee, J. (2012). *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Lewis, D. K. (1973). *Counterfactuals*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge MA.
- Lewis, D. K. (1983). New Work for a Theory of Universals. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 61:343–377.
- Lewis, D. K. (1986a). *On the Plurality of Worlds*. Blackwell, Oxford.
- Lewis, D. K. (1986b). *Philosophical Papers: Volume II*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- Luc, J. (2020). Generalised manifolds as basic objects of General Relativity. *Foundations of Physics*, 50(6):621–643.
- Luc, J., and Placek, T. (2020). Interpreting non-Hausdorff (generalized) manifolds in General Relativity. *Philosophy of Science*, 87(1): 21–42.
- Mackie, J. L. (1974). *The Cement of the Universe*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Malament, D. (2012). *Topics in the Foundation of General Relativity and Newtonian Gravitation Theory*. University of Chicago Press, Oxford.
- Malpass, A., and Wawer, J. (2012). A future for the thin red line. *Synthese*, 188(1):117–142.
- Margalef-Bentabol, J., and Villaseñor, E. J. S. (2014). Topology of the Misner space and its g -boundary. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 46(7):1755.
- Maudlin, T. (2019). *Philosophy of Physics: Quantum Theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- McCall, S. (1994). *A Model of the Universe*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Melia, J. (1999). Holes, haecceitism and two conceptions of determinism. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 50(4):639–664.
- Mermin, D. (1981). Quantum mysteries for anyone. *Journal of Philosophy*, 78(7):397–408.
- Mermin, D. (1990). Quantum mysteries revisited. *American Journal of Physics*, 58(8): 731–734.
- Miller, D. (1994). *Critical Rationalism. A Restatement and Defense*. Open Court Publishing Company, Chicago and La Salle.
- Misner, C. W., and Taub, A. H. (1969). A singularity-free empty universe. *Soviet Physics JETP*, 28(1):122–133. Originally in ZhETF 55(1), 233–255 (July 1968).
- Müller, T. (2002). Branching space-time, modal logic and the counterfactual conditional. In Placek, T., and Butterfield, J., editors, *Nonlocality and Modality*, NATO Science Series, pages 273–291. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht.
- Müller, T. (2005). Probability theory and causation: a branching space-times analysis. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 56(3):487–520.
- Müller, T. (2006). On the problem of defining the present in special relativity: a challenge for tense logic. In Stadler, F., and Stöltzner, M., editors, *Time and History. Proceedings of the 28. International Ludwig Wittgenstein Symposium, Kirchberg am Wechsel, Austria 2005*, pages 441–458. Ontos Verlag, Frankfurt a.M.
- Müller, T. (2010). Towards a theory of limited indeterminism in branching space-times. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 39:395–423.
- Müller, T. (2013). A generalized manifold topology for branching space-times. *Philosophy of science*, 80:1089–1100.
- Müller, T. (2014). Alternatives to histories? Employing a local notion of modal consistency in branching theories. *Erkenntnis*, 79:343–364. doi:10.1007/s10670-013-9453-4.
- Müller, T. (2020). Defining a relativity-proof notion of the present via spatio-temporal indeterminism. *Foundations of Physics*, 50:644–664.
- Müller, T., Belnap, N., and Kishida, K. (2008). Funny business in branching space-times: infinite modal correlations. *Synthese*, 164:141–159.
- Müller, T., and Briegel, H. J. (2018). A stochastic process model for free agency under indeterminism. *dialectica*, 72(2):219–252.
- Müller, T., and Placek, T. (2001). Against a minimalist reading of Bell's theorem: lessons from Fine. *Synthese*, 128(3):343–379.
- Müller, T., and Placek, T. (2018). Defining determinism. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 69(1):215–252.

- Mundy, B. (1986). Optical axiomatization of Minkowski space-time geometry. *Philosophy of Science*, 53(1):1–30.
- Munkres, J. R. (2000). *Topology*. Prentice Hall, New York.
- Myrvold, W., Genovese, M., and Shimony, A. (2019). Bell's theorem. In Zalta, E. N., editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, spring 2019 edition.
- Newman, E., Tamburino, L., and Unti, T. (1963). Empty-space generalization of the Schwarzschild metric. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 4:915–925.
- Øhrstrøm, P. (2009). In defence of the Thin Red Line: a case for Ockhamism. *Humana.mente*, 8:17–32.
- Ometto, D. (2016). *Freedom and Self-Knowledge*. PhD thesis, Utrecht University. Department of Philosophy, Utrecht University, *Quaestiones Infnitae*, vol. 95.
- Penrose, R. (1969). Gravitational collapse: the role of general relativity. *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*, 1:252–276.
- Perry, J. (1979). The problem of the essential indexical. *Noûs*, 13(1):3–21.
- Pitowsky, I. (1989). *Quantum Probability — Quantum Logic*. Springer, Berlin.
- Placek, T. (2000). *Is Nature Deterministic? A Branching Perspective on EPR Phenomena*. Jagiellonian University Press, Cracow.
- Placek, T. (2004). Quantum state holism: a case for holistic causation. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics*, 35(4):671–692.
- Placek, T. (2010). On propensity-frequentist models for stochastic phenomena with applications to Bell's theorem. In Czarnecki, T., Kijania-Placek, K., Poller, O., and Woleński, J., editors, *The Analytic Way. Proceedings of the European Congress on Analytic Philosophy, Kraków, August 2008*, pages 105–144. London: College Publications.
- Placek, T. (2011). Possibilities without possible worlds/histories. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 40:737–765.
- Placek, T. (2014). Branching for general relativists. In Müller, T., editor, *Nuel Belnap on Indeterminism and Free Action*, pages 191–221, Cham. Outstanding Contributions to Logic 2.
- Placek, T. (2019). Laplace's demon tries on Aristotle's cloak: on two approaches to determinism. *Synthese*, 196(1):11–30.
- Placek, T. (2021). Past, present and future modally introduced. *Synthese*, 198(4): 3603–3624.
- Placek, T., and Belnap, N. (2012). Indeterminism is a modal notion: branching spacetimes and Earman's pruning. *Synthese*, 187(2):441–469.
- Placek, T., Belnap, N., and Kishida, K. (2014). On topological issues of indeterminism. *Erkenntnis*, 79:403–436.
- Ploug, T., and Øhrstrøm, P. (2012). Branching time, indeterminism and tense logic. Unveiling the Prior-Kripke letters. *Synthese*, 188:367–379. doi:10.1007/s11229-011-9944-2.
- Popper, K. (1959). The propensity interpretation of probability. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 10:25–42.
- Popper, K. (1982). *Quantum Theory and the Schism in Physics*. Hutchinson, London.
- Prior, A. N. (1967). *Past, Present, and Future*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Prior, A. N. (1970). The notion of the present. *Studium Generale*, 23:245–48.
- Prior, A. N. (1996). Some free thinking about time. In Copeland, B. J., editor, *Logic and Reality: Essays on the Legacy of Arthur Prior*, pages 47–52. Oxford University Press, Oxford. Undated text, edited posthumously by Copeland.

- Rakić, N. (1997a). *Common Sense Time and Special Relativity*. PhD thesis, ILLC, Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Rakić, N. (1997b). Past, present, future, and special relativity. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 48:257–280.
- Reichenbach, H. (1952). Les fondements logiques de la mécanique des quanta. *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré*, 13:109–158. Quoted from the English translation, “The logical foundations of quantum mechanics”, in *Hans Reichenbach. Collected writings 1909–1953, Vol. 2*, ed. by M. Reichenbach and R. S. Cohen, Dordrecht: Reidel 1978, pages 237–278.
- Reichenbach, H. (1956). *The Direction of Time*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
- Ringström, H. (2009). *The Cauchy Problem in General Relativity*. European Mathematical Society Publishing House, Zürich.
- Robb, A. A. (1914). *A Theory of Space and Time*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Robb, A. A. (1936). *Geometry of Time and Space*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Rumberg, A. (2016a). Transition semantics for branching time. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information*, 25:77–108.
- Rumberg, A. (2016b). *Transitions: Toward a Semantics for Real Possibility*. PhD thesis, University of Utrecht.
- Salmon, W. C. (1984). *Scientific Explanation and the Causal Structure of the World*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Salmon, W. C. (1989). Four decades of scientific explanation. *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science* 13:3–219.
- Sattig, T. (2015). Pluralism and determinism. *Journal of Philosophy*, 111:135–150.
- Scheidl, T., Ursin, R., Kofler, J., Ramelow, S., Ma, X. S., Herbst, T., and Zeilinger, A. (2010). Violation of local realism with freedom of choice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107:19708–19713.
- Shalm, L. K., Meyer-Scott, E., Christensen, B. G., Bierhorst, P., Wayne, M. A., Stevens, M. J., Gerrits, T., Glancy, S., Hamel, D. R., Allman, M. S., Coakley, K. J., Dyer, S. D., Hodge, C., Lita, A. E., Verma, V. B., Lambrocco, C., Tortorici, E., Migdall, A. L., Zhang, Y., Kumor, D. R., Farr, W. H., Marsili, F., Shaw, M. D., Stern, J. A., Abellán, C., Amaya, W., Pruneri, V., Jennewein, T., Mitchell, M. W., Kwiat, P. G., Bienfang, J. C., Mirin, R. P., Knill, E., and Nam, S. W. (2015). Strong loophole-free test of local realism. *Physics Review Letters*, 115:250402.
- Shimony, A. (1978). Metaphysical problems in the foundations of quantum mechanics. *International Philosophical Quarterly*, 8:2–17.
- Shimony, A. (1984). Controllable and uncontrollable non-locality. In Kamefuchi, S., et al., editors, *Foundations of Quantum Mechanics in Light of the New Technology*, pages 225–230. Physical Society of Japan, Tokyo.
- Smeenk, C. (2013). Time in cosmology. In Bardón, A., and Dyke, H., editors, *The Blackwell Companion to the Philosophy of Time*, pages 201–219. Blackwell, Oxford.
- Spinoza, B. (1677). *Ethica Ordine Geometrico Demonstrata*. Jan Rieuwertsz, Amsterdam. Quoted from the translation by M. Silverthorne, ed. by M. J. Kisner, *Ethics Proved in Geometrical Order*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2018.
- Spohn, W. (1983). Deterministic and probabilistic reasons and causes. In Hempel, C. G., Putnam, H., and Essler, W., editors, *Methodology, Epistemology, and Philosophy of Science*, pages 371–396. Kluwer, Dordrecht. doi:10.1007/978-94-015-7676-5_20.

- Spohn, W. (2012). *The Laws of Belief: Ranking Theory and Its Philosophical Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Spohn, W. (2017). The epistemology and auto-epistemology of temporal self-location and forgetfulness. *Ergo*, 4(13):359–418.
- Stalnaker, R. (1976). Possible worlds. *Noûs*, 10(1):65–75.
- Stalnaker, R. (2012). *Mere Possibilities: Metaphysical Foundations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Stalnaker, R. (2015). Counterfactuals and Humean reduction. In Loewer, B., and Schaffer, J., editors, *A Companion to David Lewis*, pages 411–424. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford.
- Stein, H. (1991). On relativity theory and openness of the future. *Philosophy of Science*, 58(2):147–167.
- Strobach, N. (2007). *Alternativen in der Raumzeit. Eine Studie zur philosophischen Anwendung multidimensionaler Aussagenlogiken*, volume 16 of *Logische Philosophie*. Logos, Berlin.
- Tarski, A. (1933). *Pojęcie prawdy w językach nauk dedukcyjnych*. Prace Towarzystwa Naukowego Warszawskiego, Warsaw.
- Tarski, A. (1935). Der Wahrheitsbegriff in den formalisierten Sprachen. *Studia Philosophica*, 1:261–405.
- Tarski, A. (1956). *Logic, Semantics, Metamathematics: Papers from 1923 to 1938*, chapter “The Concept of Truth in Formalized Languages”, pages 152–278. Hackett, Indianapolis, 2nd, 1983 edition.
- Thomason, R. H. (1970). Indeterminist time and truth-value gaps. *Theoria*, 36:264–281.
- Van Benthem, J. F. A. K. (1983). *The logic of time. A model-theoretic investigation into the varieties of temporal ontology and temporal discourse*, volume 156 of *Synthese library*. D. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Van Fraassen, B. (1980). *The Scientific Image*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Vetter, B. (2015). *Potentiality: From Dispositions to Modality*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- von Kutschera, F. (1986). Bewirken. *Erkenntnis*, 24(3):253–281.
- von Wright, G. H. (1963). *Norm and Action. A Logical Inquiry*. Routledge, London.
- von Wright, G. H. (1974). *Causality and Determinism*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Wald, R. M. (1984). *General Relativity*. Chicago University Press, Chicago, IL.
- Weiner, M., and Belnap, N. (2006). How causal probabilities might fit into our objectively indeterministic world. *Synthese*, 149:1–36.
- Whitehead, A. N. (1925). *Science and the Modern World*. Macmillan, New York.
- Whitrow, G. J. (1961). *The Natural Philosophy of Time*. Nelson, London.
- Wroński, L. (2014). *Reichenbach's Paradise. Constructing the Realm of Probabilistic Common "Causes"*. De Gruyter Open, Warsaw.
- Wroński, L., and Placek, T. (2009). On Minkowskian branching structures. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics*, 40:251–258.
- Wroński, L., Placek, T., and Godziszewski, M. T. (2017). Separate common causes and EPR correlations: An “almost no-go” result. In Hofer-Szabó, G., and Wroński, L., editors, *Making it Formally Explicit*, pages 85–107. Springer, Charm.
- Wüthrich, C. (2020). When the actual world is not even possible. In Glick, D., Darby, G., and Marmodoro, A., editors, *The Foundation of Reality*, pages 233–254. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- Xu, M. (1997). Causation in branching time (I): Transitions, events and causes. *Synthese*, 112(2):137–192.
- Yin, J., Cao, Y., Li, Y.-H., Liao, S.-K., Zhang, L., Ren, J.-G., Cai, W.-Q., Liu, W.-Y., Li, B., Dai, H., Li, G.-B., Lu, Q.-M., Gong, Y.-H., Xu, Y., Li, S.-L., Li, F.-Z., Yin, Y.-Y., Jiang, Z.-Q., Li, M., Jia, J.-J., Ren, G., He, D., Zhou, Y.-L., Zhang, X.-X., Wang, N., Chang, X., Zhu, Z.-C., Liu, N.-L., Chen, Y.-A., Lu, C.-Y., Shu, R., Peng, C.-Z., Wang, J.-Y., and Pan, J.-W. (2017). Satellite-based entanglement distribution over 1200 kilometers. *Science*, 356(6343):1140–1144.

