

# List of Figures

2.1. Two-party share of the UK vote 1964–2017	10
2.2. Effective number of UK electoral and parliamentary parties 1945–2017	10
2.3. Pedersen Index of Aggregate Volatility 1835–2017	11
2.4. Individual-level voter volatility in Eleven pairs of elections between 1964 and 2017 in the British Election Study	13
2.5. Vote flows between 2010 and 2015	18
2.6. Vote flows between 2015 and 2017	23
2.7. Combined switching from Labour to the Conservatives and from the Conservatives to Labour between one election and the next as a percentage of Labour and Conservative voters at the previous election	24
4.1. Declining levels of party identification and strength of identification	53
4.2. Relationship between party identity strength at election 1 and the probability that a voter switches parties at election 2 across different elections (labelled by second election)	55
4.3. Percentage of respondents with any party identification over time for different cohorts (defined by the party in power when the voter reached voting age)	56
4.4. Total change in non-PID in BSA and decomposed into within-cohort change component and cohort-replacement component	57
4.5. Percentage point decline in respondents with any party identity, compared with the level in 1964	60
4.6. Proportion of a party's voters lost in the following election	62
4.7. Effective number of media parties	66
4.8. Predicted probability of a voter switching parties between elections depending on 1) whether their party identity and vote choice at the first election were the same and 2) the strength of their party identity at the first election. Predicted probabilities derived from logistic regression model fitted to twelve election pairs	68
4.9. Predicted probability that a voter switches parties between elections depending on whether they voted for a major party and the amount of difference they perceive between Labour and Conservatives (pooled model of eleven election pairs 1964–2017)	69
4.10. Percentage point increase in individual-level voter volatility, compared with the level seen between 1964 and 1966	70

4.11. Percent of the increase in year marginal effects since 1964–66 explained by different sets of factors in the switching model	71
5.1. Total levels of immigration of non-UK citizens to the UK by year (thousands of immigrants)	79
5.2. Total levels of immigration of non-UK citizens to the UK by year (thousands of immigrants) broken down by EU, Commonwealth, and other sources of origin	80
5.3. Ipsos MORI trends (1974–2015) on what percentage of voters mention immigration as an important. (Source: Ipsos MORI: ‘What would you say is the most important issue facing Britain today?’ ‘What do you see as other important issues facing Britain today?’ Concern is averaged for each year over all surveys fielded in that year)	80
5.4. Levels of coverage of immigration in four tabloid newspapers	82
5.5. The relationship between believing there are too many immigrants and support for leaving the EU in 1975 and 2015	84
5.6. The growing link between responses to ‘How much do you approve of Britain’s membership of the EU?’ and ‘what is the most important issue facing the country?’	85
5.7. Perceptions of the parties’ desire to reduce immigration and their ability to do so	87
5.8. Predicted probabilities of switching to UKIP in 2015 for voters of different 2010 party origins with different levels of 2010 immigration concern	88
5.9. Predicted probabilities of switching to UKIP in 2015 for voters of different 2010 party origins with different levels of EU approval in 2010	89
5.10. Predicted probabilities of switching to UKIP in 2015 for voters of different 2010 party origins by 2010 party ID strength	89
5.11. Levels of vote switching to UKIP among respondents in the multinomial models under the actual levels of concern and the counterfactual scenario of low immigration concern	90
5.12. ‘Do you think that too many immigrants have been let into this country, or not?’	93
6.1. Predicted probability of 2015 vote choice by 2010 and 2015 economic evaluation	100
6.2. ‘Best party on the economy’, 1990–2018	101
6.3. Predicted probability of vote choice by 2015 Labour economic handling	103
6.4. Predicted probability of voting Labour in 2015 by perceptions of Labour’s handling of the economy, showing the 2005 and 2015 mean perceptions	103
6.5. Marginal effects of blaming Labour for the financial crisis in 2010 and blaming Labour for the level of debt in 2015 on the probability of voting for each party in 2015	105

6.6. Necessity and desirability of reducing the national deficit among 2010 labour and Conservative voters	106
6.7. Predicted probability of vote choices by austerity attitudes	107
6.8. 2010 Labour Party identity strength and Labour's economic competence, 2015	108
6.9. Retrospective economic evaluations 2014–17	109
6.10. Predicted probability of 2017 vote choice by 2010, 2015, and 2017 retrospective economic evaluations	110
7.1. Liberal Democrat polling share in the run-up to and aftermath of the 2010 election	115
7.2. 2010 and 2015 vote choice of 2010 and 2015 Liberal Democrats voters	116
7.3. Party identity of Conservative, Labour, Liberal Democrat 2010 voters	119
7.4. Stated reason for voting for party in 2010 by party voted for	120
7.5. Attributions of responsibility to Conservatives and Liberal Democrats for change in six policy areas during 2010–15 coalition	123
7.6. Attribution of responsibility for change in the economy	124
7.7. Estimates of the proportion of 2010 Liberal Democrat voters voting Liberal Democrat in 2015 under different counterfactual conditions	126
7.8. Change in feelings towards Liberal Democrats 2010–15 by economic left–right and liberal–authoritarian values	128
7.9. Change in feelings towards Liberal Democrats 2010–15 by strength of Liberal Democrat identity in 2010	129
7.10. 2015 and 2017 vote choice of 2015 and 2017 Liberal Democrats voters	130
7.11. Change in feelings towards Liberal Democrats 2015–17 by economic left–right and liberal–authoritarian values	132
7.12. Relationship between economic left–right and liberal–authoritarian values and feelings towards the Liberal Democrats in 2010, 2015, and 2017	132
7.13. Change in feelings towards Liberal Democrats 2015–17 by strength of Liberal Democrat identity in 2010	133
7.14. Perceived likelihood of Liberal Democrats winning a respondent's constituency in 2010, 2015, and 2017 by rank of Liberal Democrat vote share at previous election	134
7.15. Estimated proportion voting Liberal Democrat in 2017 under different counterfactual conditions	135
8.1. SNP, Labour, and The Conservatives in the polls: Westminster voting intention, March 2011–June 2017	140
8.2. General election vote intention of Yes and No voters, 2014–15	141
8.3. Flow of votes between Labour and SNP for initially Labour-supporting Yes voters between key periods in the run-up and aftermath of the Scottish independence referendum	144

8.4. The average marginal effect of referendum Yes vote (intention) on switching to the SNP if not intending to vote SNP in the previous wave	147
8.5. The average marginal effect of referendum Yes vote (intention) on identifying with Labour and the SNP	148
8.6. Average marginal effect of referendum Yes vote (intention) on national identity (1–7 scale)	150
8.7. Average marginal effect of referendum Yes vote (intention) on feelings towards Labour and SNP party leaders (0–10 scale)	151
8.8. Counterfactual effect of change in attitude on voting for the SNP among 2010 Labour voters	152
8.9. 2015 and 2017 vote choice for Scottish independence Yes and EU referendum Remain voters	155
8.10. 2015 and 2017 vote choice for Scottish independence Yes and EU referendum Leave voters	156
8.11. 2015 and 2017 vote choice for Scottish independence No and EU referendum Remain voters	156
8.12. 2015 and 2017 vote choice for Scottish independence No and EU referendum Leave voters	157
8.13. Predicted probability of voting for each party by devolution scale	158
8.14. Predicted probability of voting for each party by EU scale	159
8.15. Strength of SNP identity among 2014 Scottish independence referendum Yes voters who voted Remain and Leave in the 2016 EU referendum, on a scale where 0 = no/other party id, 1 = not very strong Conservative id, 2 = fairly strong Conservative id, 3 = Very strong Conservative id	160
9.1. Brexit voting by key attitudes	166
9.2. Bivariate relationship between economic right and authoritarian values, and education, age, and income	167
9.3. Brexit voting by key demographics	167
9.4. Ability to reduce immigration	169
9.5. Perceived Conservative position on EU integration	170
9.6. Perceived Labour position on EU integration	171
9.7. Change in 2017 vote share under counterfactual observations of voter–party left–right, EU, immigration distance, and perceptions of immigration competence	171
9.8. Bivariate relationship between liberal–authoritarianism, economic left–right, anti-immigrant attitudes and anti-EU attitudes, and change in the Conservative and Labour vote share 2015 to 2017	172
9.9. Goodness-of-fit of three logit models of Conservative–Labour vote choice in England in 2015 and 2017	174

9.10. Goodness-of-fit of three multinomial logit models of Conservative, Labour, Liberal Democrat, UKIP, and Green Party vote choice in England in 2015 and 2017	176
9.11. Party support by EU vote 2014–17	177
9.12. Where the Leave vote went	178
9.13. Where the Remain vote went	178
9.14. Loyalty rates 2015–17	181
9.15. Bivariate relationship between age and Conservative share of the Labour/Conservative vote in 2015 and 2017	182
9.16. Bivariate relationship between education and Conservative share of the Conservative/Labour vote in 2015 and 2017	183
9.17. Bivariate relationship between household income and Conservative share of the Conservative/Labour vote in 2015 and 2017	183
10.1. Mean strength of Conservative Party identity among Leave- and Remain-voting 2015 Conservative voters, on a scale where 0 = no/other party id, 1 = not very strong Conservative id, 2 = fairly strong Conservative id, 3 = very strong Conservative id	196
10.2. Strength of political identities measured using the seven-item social identity scales for party, EU referendum side, and Scottish referendum side	197
10.3. Predicted feelings towards the Conservative and Labour parties for aligned and unaligned party and Brexit identities of equal strength (wave 11 of the BES Internet Panel)	198

