



Impossible Worlds

Francesco Berto and Mark Jago

Print publication date: 2019

Print ISBN-13: 9780198812791

Published to Oxford Scholarship Online: August 2019

DOI: 10.1093/oso/9780198812791.001.0001

(p.291) Bibliography

Francesco Berto

Mark Jago

Bibliography references:

Adams, R. (1974). Theories of actuality, *Noûs* 8: 211–31.

Alechina, N. and Logan, B. (2002). Ascribing beliefs to resource bounded agents, *Proceedings of the First International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS 2002)*, ACM Press, New York, NY, pp. 881–8.

Amit, E., Algom, D., Trope, Y., and Liberman, N. (2009). ‘Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image’: the distance dependence of representation, in D. Markman, W. Klein, and J. Suhr (eds.), *Handbook of Imagination and Mental Simulation*, Taylor and Francis, New York, pp. 53–68.

Anderson, A. R. and Belnap, N. D. (1975). *Entailment—the Logic of Relevance and Necessity*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Angell, R. (1977). Three systems of first degree entailment, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 47: 147.

Armstrong, D. (1989). *A Combinatorial Theory of Possibility*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Armstrong, D. (1997). *A World of States of Affairs*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Armstrong, D. (2004). *Truth and Truthmakers*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

(p.292) Arrow, K. (1950). A difficulty in the concept of social welfare, *Journal of Political Economy* 58: 328–46.

Badura, C. (2016). Truth in fiction via non-standard belief revision, Master's thesis, University of Amsterdam.

Badura, C. and Berto, F. (2018). Truth in fiction, impossible worlds, and belief revision, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, doi: 10.1080/00048402.2018.1435698.

Balbes, R. and Dwinger, P. (1975). *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, MO, and London.

Balcerak Jackson, M. (2016). On imagining, supposing and conceiving, in A. Kind and P. Kung (eds.), *Knowledge through Imagination*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 42–60.

Baltag, A., Moss, L., and Solecki, S. (1998). The logic of public announcements, common knowledge, and private suspicions, in I. Gilboa (ed.), *Proceedings of TARK 98*, Morgan and Kaufmann, Evanston, IL, pp. 43–56.

Baltag, A. and Renne, B. (2016). Dynamic epistemic logic, in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/dynamic-epistemic/>.

Baltag, A. and Smets, S. (2006). Conditional doxastic models: a qualitative approach to dynamic belief revision, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 165: 5–21.

Baltag, A. and Smets, S. (2011). Keep changing your beliefs, aiming for the truth, *Erkenntnis* 75: 255–70.

Bar-Hillel, Y. (1964). *Language and Information: Selected Essays on Their Theory and Application*, Addison-Wesley, London.

Bar-Hillel, Y. and Carnap, R. (1953). An outline of a theory of semantic information, *Language and Information: Selected Essays on Their Theory and Application*, Addison-Wesley, Boston, MA, pp. 221–74.

(p.293) Barker, S. and Jago, M. (2012). Being positive about negative facts, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 85: 117–38.

Barwise, J. and Perry, J. (1983). *Situations and Attitudes*, Bradford Books, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Barwise, J. and Seligman, J. (1997). *Information Flow: The Logic of Distributed Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Beall, J., Brady, R., Dunn, M., Hazen, A., Mares, E., Meyer, R., Priest, G., Restall, G., Ripley, D., Slaney, J., and Sylvan, R. (2012). On the ternary relation and conditionality, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 41: 595–612.

Beall, J. and van Fraassen, B. (2003). *Possibilities and Paradox. An Introduction to Modal and Many-Valued Logic*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Belnap, N. (1977). A useful four-valued logic, in J. Dunn and G. Epstein (eds.), *Modern Use of Multiple-valued Logic*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht.

Bennett, J. (2003). *A Philosophical Guide to Conditionals*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Bennett, K. (2004). Global supervenience and dependence, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 68: 501–29.

Bernstein, S. (2016). Omission impossible, *Philosophical Studies* 173: 2575–89.

Berto, F. (2008). Modal meinonism for fictional objects, *Metaphysica* 9: 205–18.

Berto, F. (2010). Impossible worlds and propositions: Against the parity thesis, *The Philosophical Quarterly* 60: 471–86.

Berto, F. (2012). *Existence as a Real Property*, Synthese Library, Springer, Dordrecht.

(p.294) Berto, F. (2014). On conceiving the inconsistent, *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 114: 103–21.

Berto, F. (2015). A modality called negation, *Mind* 24: 761–93.

Berto, F. (2017). Impossible worlds and the logic of imagination, *Erkenntnis* 82: 1277–97.

Berto, F. (2018). Aboutness in imagination, *Philosophical Studies* 175: 1871–86.

Berto, F. and Jago, M. (2018). Impossible worlds, in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/impossible-worlds/>.

Berto, F. and Plebani, M. (2015). *Ontology and Metaontology: A Contemporary Guide*, Bloomsbury, London.

Berto, F. and Schoonen, T. (2018). Conceivability and possibility: Some dilemmas for humeans, *Synthese* 195: 2697–715.

Bigelow, J. and Pargetter, R. (1990). *Science and Necessity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Bjerring, J. (2010). Non-ideal epistemic space, PhD thesis, RSSS, Australian National University.
- Bjerring, J. (2012). Impossible worlds and logical omniscience: an impossibility result, *Synthese* 190: 2505–24.
- Bjerring, J. (2014). On counterpossibles, *Philosophical Studies* 168: 327–53.
- Bjerring, J. and Rasmussen, M. (2017). Two problems of hyperintensionality. Unpublished draft.
- Bjerring, J. and Rasmussen, M. (2018). A dynamic solution to the problem of logical omniscience, *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, doi: 10.1007/s10992-018-9473-2.
- (p.295)** Blackburn, P., de Rijke, M., and Venema, Y. (2002). *Modal Logic*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Block, N. (1983). Mental pictures and cognitive science, *The Philosophical Review* 92: 499–541.
- Bonomi, A. and Zucchi, S. (2003). A pragmatic framework for truth in fiction, *Dialectica* 57: 103–20.
- Brogaard, B. and Salerno, J. (2013). Remarks on counterpossibles, *Synthese* 190: 639–60.
- Byrd, M. (1986). Review of Zalta's 'abstract objects', *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 51: 246–8.
- Byrne, A. (2007). Possibility and imagination, *Philosophical Perspectives* 21: 125–44.
- Byrne, R. (2005). *The Rational Imagination. How People Create Alternatives to Reality*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Carnap, R. (1947). *Meaning and Necessity*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Carnap, R. (1948). *Introduction to Semantics*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Chalmers, D. (2002a). The components of content, in D. Chalmers (ed.), *Philosophy of Mind: Classical and Contemporary Readings*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 608–33.

Chalmers, D. (2002b). Does conceivability entail possibility?, in T. Gendler and J. Hawthorne (eds.), *Conceivability and Possibility*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 145–99.

Chalmers, D. (2002c). On sense and intension, *Noûs* 36: 135–82.

Chalmers, D. (2006). The foundations of two-dimensional semantics, in M. Garcia-Carpintero and J. Macia (eds.), *Two-dimensional Semantics: Foundations and Applications*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

(p.296) Chalmers, D. (2010). The nature of epistemic space, in A. Egan and B. Weatherson (eds.), *Epistemic Modality*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 60–107.

Chellas, B. (1975). Basic conditional logic, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 4: 133–53.

Church, A. (1936). An unsolvable problem of elementary number theory, *American Journal of Mathematics* 58: 345–63.

Copeland, J. (1979). On when a semantics is not a semantics, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 8: 399–413.

Correia, F. (2004). Semantics for analytic containment, *Studia Logica* 77: 87–104.

Correia, F. and Schnieder, B. (2012). *Metaphysical Grounding: Understanding the Structure of Reality*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Costa Leite, A. (2010). Logical properties of imagination, *Abstracta* 6: 103–16.

Crane, T. (2013). *The Objects of Thought*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Cresswell, M. (1966). The completeness of S0.5, *Logique et Analyse* 9: 263–6.

Cresswell, M. (1972). The world is everything that is the case, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 50: 1–13.

Cresswell, M. (1973). *Logics and Languages*, Methuen, London.

Cresswell, M. (1975). Hyperintensional logic, *Studia Logica* 34: 25–38.

Currie, G. (1990). *The Nature of Fiction*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

(p.297) Davidson, D. (1965). Theories of meaning in learnable languages, in Y. Bar-Hillel (ed.), *Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 3–17.

- Davidson, D. (1970). Mental events, in L. Foster and J. Swanson (eds.), *Experience and Theory*, Duckworth, London, pp. 207–24.
- Davidson, D. (1985). *Inquiries into Truth and Interpretation*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Dedekind, R. (1901). *Essays on the Theory of Numbers*, Open Court, Chicago, IL.
- Dennett, D. (1978). *Brainstorms*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Dennett, D. C. (1987). *The Intentional Stance*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- DeRose, K. (1992). Contextualism and knowledge attributions, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 52: 913–29.
- DeRose, K. (2002). Assertion, knowledge and context, *Philosophical Review* 111: 167–203.
- des Rivieres, J. and Levesque, H. J. (1686). The consistency of syntactical treatments of knowledge, *Computational Intelligence* 4: 31–41.
- Devlin, K. (1991). *Logic and Information*, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Divers, J. (2002). *Possible Worlds*, Routledge, London.
- Divers, J. and Melia, J. (2002). The analytic limit of genuine modal realism, *Mind* 111: 15–36.
- Dretske, F. (1970). Epistemic operators, *Journal of Philosophy* 67: 1007–23.
- Dretske, F. (1981). The pragmatic dimension of knowledge, *Philosophical Studies* 40: 363–78.
- (p.298)** Dretske, F. (2005). The case against closure, in M. Steup and E. Sosa (eds.), *Contemporary Debates in Epistemology*, Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 13–25.
- Duc, H. (1997). Reasoning about rational, but not logically omniscient, agents, *Journal of Logic and Computation* 5: 633–48.
- Duc, H. N. (1995). Logical omniscience vs. logical ignorance, in C. Pereira and N. Mamede (eds.), *Proceedings of EPIA'95*, LNAI 990, Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 237–48.
- Dummett, M. (1973). The justification of deduction, *Proceedings of the British Academy* 59: 3–34.
- Dummett, M. (1978a). The justification of deduction, in his *Truth and Other Enigmas*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 166–85.

- Dummett, M. (1978b). *Truth and Other Enigmas*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Dummett, M. (1993a). *Frege: Philosophy of Language*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Dummett, M. (1993b). *The Seas of Language*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Dunn, J. (1976). Intuitive semantics for first-degree entailments and coupled trees, *Philosophical Studies* 29: 149–68.
- Dunn, J. (1993). Star and perp: Two treatments of negation, *Philosophical Perspectives* 7: 331–57.
- Dunn, J. and Restall, G. (2002). Relevance logic, in D. Gabbay and F. Guenther (eds.), *Handbook of Philosophical Logic*, 2nd edn, vol. 6, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, pp. 1–136.
- Duzi, M., Materna, P., and Jespersen, B. (2010). *Procedural Semantics for Hyperintensional Logic*, Springer, Dordrecht.
- (p.299)** Eberle, R. (1974). A logic of believing, knowing and inferring, *Synthese* 26: 356–82.
- Fagin, R. and Halpern, J. (1988). Belief, awareness and limited reasoning, *Artificial Intelligence* 34: 39–76.
- Fagin, R., Halpern, J., Moses, Y., and Vardi, M. (1995). *Reasoning About Knowledge*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Field, H. (1980). *Science without Numbers*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Field, H. (1989). *Realism, Mathematics and Modality*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Fine, K. (1975a). Critical notice: Counterfactuals, *Mind* 84: 451–8.
- Fine, K. (1975b). Vagueness, truth and logic, *Synthese* 30: 265–300.
- Fine, K. (1982). The problem of non-existents I: internalism, *Topoi* 1: 97–140.
- Fine, K. (1994). Essence and modality: The second philosophical perspectives lecture, *Philosophical Perspectives* 8: 1–16.
- Fine, K. (2001). The question of realism, *Philosophers' Imprint* 1: 1–30.
- Fine, K. (2012a). Counterfactuals without possible worlds, *Journal of Philosophy* 109: 221–46.

- Fine, K. (2012b). Guide to ground, in F. Correia and B. Schnieder (eds.), *Metaphysical Grounding: Understanding the Structure of Reality*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 37–80.
- Fine, K. (2012c). The pure logic of ground, *Review of Symbolic Logic* 5: 1–25.
- Fine, K. (2014). Truth-maker semantics for intuitionistic logic, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 43: 549–77.
- (p.300)** Fine, K. (2016). Angelic content, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 45: 199–226.
- Fine, K. (2017). A theory of truth-conditional content I: Conjunction, disjunction and negation, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 46: 625–74.
- Fine, K. (2019). Constructing the impossible, unpublished article, https://www.academia.edu/11339241/Constructing_the_Impossible.
- Fine, K. and Jago, M. (forthcoming). Exact truthmaker logic, forthcoming in *Review of Symbolic Logic*.
- Fiocco, M. (2007). Conceivability, imagination and modal knowledge, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 74: 364–80.
- Floridi, L. (2015). Semantic conceptions of information, in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/information-semantic/>.
- Fodor, J. (1975). *The Language of Thought*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Fontaine, M. and Rahman, S. (2014). Towards a semantics for the artifactual theory of fiction and beyond, *Synthese* 191: 499–516.
- Forrest, P. (1986). Ways worlds could be, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 64: 15–24.
- Frege, G. (1879). *Begriffsschrift, eine der arithmetischen nachgebildete Formelsprache des reinen Denkens*, Louis Nebert, Halle.
- Frege, G. (1892). Über sinn und bedeutung, *Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik* 100: 25–50.
- Frege, G. (1956). The thought: A logical inquiry, *Mind* 65: 289–311.
- Ganis, G., Thompson, W., and Kosslyn, S. (2004). Brain areas underlying visual mental imagery and visual perception, *Cognitive Brain Research* 20: 226–41.

(p.301) Gendler, T. (2000). *Thought Experiments: On the Powers and Limits of Imaginary Cases*, Routledge, London.

Gendler, T. and Hawthorne, J. (eds.) (2002). *Conceivability and Possibility*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Gioulatou, I. (2016). Hyperintensionality, Master's thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam.

Goodman, J. (2004). A defense of creationism in fiction, *Grazer Philosophische Studien* 67: 131–55.

Grove, A. (1988). Two modellings for theory change, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 17: 157–70.

Hanley, R. (2004). As good as it gets: Lewis on truth in fiction, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 82: 112–28.

Hawke, P. (2011). Van Inwagen's modal skepticism, *Philosophical Studies* 153: 351–64.

Hawthorne, J. (2004). *Knowledge and Lotteries*, Oxford University Press, New York.

Hawthorne, J. (2005). The case for closure, in M. Steup and E. Sosa (eds.), *Contemporary Debates in Epistemology*, Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 26–43.

Heyd, T. (2006). Understanding and handling unreliable narratives: A pragmatic model and method, *Semiotica* 162: 217–43.

Hill, C. (1997). Imaginability, conceivability, possibility and the mind-body problem, *Philosophical Studies* 87: 61–85.

Hintikka, J. (1962). *Knowledge and Belief: An Introduction to the Logic of the Two Notions*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.

Hintikka, J. (1975). Impossible possible worlds vindicated, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 4: 475–84.

(p.302) Holliday, W. H. (2015). Epistemic closure and epistemic logic I: Relevant alternatives and subjunctivism, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 44: 1–62.

Horgan, T. (1993). From supervenience to superdupervenience: Meeting the demands of a material world, *Mind* 102: 555–86.

Horgan, T. E. (1982). Supervenience and microphysics, *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 63: 29–43.

- Hume, D. (1739/1978). *A Treatise of Human Nature*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Hyde, D. (1997). From heaps and gaps to heaps of gluts, *Mind* 106: 641–60.
- Jacquette, D. (1996). *Meinongian Logic. The Semantics of Existence and Nonexistence*, DeGruyter, Berlin and New York.
- Jago, M. (2006). *Logics for resource-bounded agents*, PhD thesis, University of Nottingham.
- Jago, M. (2007). Hintikka and Cresswell on logical omniscience, *Logic and Logical Philosophy* 15: 325–54.
- Jago, M. (2009a). The conjunction and disjunction theses, *Mind* 118: 411–15.
- Jago, M. (2009b). Logical information and epistemic space, *Synthese* 167: 327–41.
- Jago, M. (2011). Setting the facts straight, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 40: 33–54.
- Jago, M. (2013a). Against Yagisawa’s modal realism, *Analysis* 73: 10–17.
- Jago, M. (2013b). The content of deduction, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 42: 317–34.
- Jago, M. (2013c). Impossible worlds, *Noûs* 47: 713–28.
- (p.303)** Jago, M. (2013d). Recent work in relevant logic, *Analysis* 73: 526–41.
- Jago, M. (2014a). *The Impossible*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Jago, M. (2014b). The problem of rational knowledge, *Erkenntnis* 79(6): 1151–68.
- Jago, M. (2015). Hyperintensional propositions, *Synthese* 192: 585–601.
- Jago, M. (2016). Advanced modalizing problems, *Mind* 125: 627–42.
- Jago, M. (2017). Propositions as truthmaker conditions, *Argumenta* 2: 293–308.
- Jago, M. (2018a). From nature to grounding, in R. Bliss and G. Priest (eds.), *Reality and its Structure*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 199–216.
- Jago, M. (2018b). *What Truth Is*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Jeffrey, R. (1965). *The Logic of Decision*, McGraw-Hill, Chicago, IL.

Jenny, M. (2018). Counterpossibles in science: the case of relative computability, *Noûs* 52(3): 530–60.

Jespersen, B. and Duzi, M. (2015). Introduction to the *Synthese* special issue on hyperintensionality, *Synthese* 192: 525–34.

Kim, J. (1982). Psychophysical supervenience, *Philosophical Studies* 41: 51–70.

Kim, J. (1993). *Supervenience and Mind: Selected Essays*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Kind, A. (2001). Putting the image back in imagination, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 62: 85–109.

King, J. (1995). Structured propositions and complex predicates, *Noûs* 29: 516–35.

(p.304) King, J. (1996). Structured propositions and sentence structure, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 25: 495–521.

King, J. (2007). *The Nature and Structure of Content*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

King, J. C. (2011). Structured propositions, in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/propositions-structured/>.

Kiourti, I. (2010). *Real impossible worlds: The bounds of possibility*, PhD thesis, University of St Andrews.

Konolige, K. (1986). *A Deduction Model of Belief*, Morgan Kaufman, San Francisco.

Kosslyn, S. and Pomerantz, J. (1977). Imagery, propositions, and the form of internal representations, *Cognitive Psychology* 9: 52–76.

Krakauer, B. (2012). Counterpossibles, PhD thesis, University of Massachusetts.

Kratzer, A. (1981). Partition and revision: the semantics of counterfactuals, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 10: 201–16.

Kratzer, A. (1986). Conditionals, in A. Farley, P. Farley and K. McCollough (eds.), *Papers from the Parasession on Pragmatics and Grammatical Theory*, Chicago Linguistics Society, Chicago, IL, pp. 115–35.

Kripke, S. (1965). Semantical analysis of modal logic II: Non-normal modal propositional calculi, in J. Addison, L. Henkin and A. Tarski (eds.), *The Theory of Models*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 206–20.

- Kripke, S. (1971). Identity and Necessity, in M. Munitz (ed.), *Identity and Individuation*, New York: New York University Press.
- Kripke, S. (1980). *Naming and Necessity*, Blackwell, Oxford.
- (p.305)** Kung, P. (2010). Imagining as a guide to possibility, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 81: 620-63.
- Ladyman, J. and Ross, D. (2007). *Every Thing Must Go*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Langland-Hassan, P. (2016). On choosing what to imagine, in A. Kind and P. Kung (eds.), *Knowledge through Imagination*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 61-84.
- Leitgeb, H. and Segerberg, K. (2007). Dynamic doxastic logic: Why, how, and where to?, *Synthese* 155: 167-90.
- Lemmon, E. (1957). New foundations for the Lewis modal systems, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 22: 176-86.
- Levesque, H. J. (1984). A logic of implicit and explicit belief, *Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Austin, TX, pp. 198-202.
- Lewis, C. I. and Langford, C. (1932). *Symbolic Logic*, The Appleton-Century Company, New York.
- Lewis, D. (1968). Counterpart theory and quantified modal logic, *Journal of Philosophy* 65: 113-26.
- Lewis, D. (1971). Counterparts of persons and their bodies, *Journal of Philosophy* 68: 203-11.
- Lewis, D. (1973a). Causation, *Journal of Philosophy* 70: 556-67.
- Lewis, D. (1973b). *Counterfactuals*, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Lewis, D. (1975). Language and languages, in K. Gunderson (ed.), *Language, Mind and Knowledge*, University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, MN, pp. 3-35.
- Lewis, D. (1978). Truth in fiction, *American Philosophical Quarterly* 15: 37-46.
- (p.306)** Lewis, D. (1981). Ordering semantics and premise semantics for counterfactuals, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 10: 217-34.
- Lewis, D. (1982). Logic for equivocators, *Noûs* 16: 431-41.
- Lewis, D. (1983). New work for a theory of universals, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 61: 347-77.
-

- Lewis, D. (1986a). Against structural universals, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 64: 25-46.
- Lewis, D. (1986b). *On the Plurality of Worlds*, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Lewis, D. (1994). Humean supervenience debugged, *Mind* 103: 473-90.
- Lewis, D. (1996). Elusive knowledge, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 74: 549-67.
- Lewis, D. (2004). Letters to Priest and Beall, in B. Armour-Garb, J. Beall, and G. Priest (eds.), *The Law of Non-Contradiction—New Philosophical Essays*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 176-7.
- Lycan, W. (1994). *Modality and Meaning*, Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Lycan, W. G. (2001). *Real Conditionals*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Macarthur, D. (2008). Pragmatism, metaphysical quietism, and the problem of normativity, *Philosophical Topics* 36: 193-209.
- MacColl, H. (1908). 'If' and 'imply', *Mind* 17: 151-2.
- McDaniel, K. (2004). Modal realism with overlap, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 82: 137-52.
- Mackie, J. L. (1977). *Ethics: Inventing Right and Wrong*, Penguin Books, London.
- Mares, E. (2004). *Relevant Logic: A Philosophical Interpretation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- (p.307)** Mares, E. (2010). The nature of information: a relevant approach, *Synthese* 175: 111-32.
- Mares, E. D. (1997). Who's afraid of impossible worlds?, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 38: 516-26.
- Mares, E. D. (2009). General information in relevant logic, *Synthese* 167: 343-62.
- Markman, K., Klein, W., and Surh, J. (eds.) (2009). *Handbook of Imagination and Mental Simulation*, Taylor and Francis, New York.
- Matravers, D. (2014). *Fiction and Narrative*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Meinong, A. (1904). Über gegenstandstheorie, in A. Meinong (ed.), *Untersuchungen zur Gegenstadstheorie und Psychologie*, Barth, Leipzig.
- Merricks, T. (2015). *Propositions*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Meyer, R. and Van der Hoek, W. (1995). *Epistemic Logic for AI and Computer Science*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Montague, R. (1970). Universal grammar, *Theoria* 36: 373–98.

Moore, R. and Hendrix, G. (1979). Computational models of belief and the semantics of belief sentences, *Technical Note 187*, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA.

Morreau, M. and Kraus, S. (1998). Syntactical treatments of propositional attitudes, *Artificial Intelligence* 106: 161–77.

Nichols, S. and Stich, S. (2003). *Mindreading. An Integrated Account of Pretence, Self-Awareness, and Understanding Other Minds*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Niiniluoto, I. (1985). Imagination and fiction, *Journal of Semantics* 4: 209–22.

(p.308) Nolan, D. (1997). Impossible worlds: A modest approach, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 38: 535–72.

Nolan, D. (2005). *David Lewis*, Acumen, Chesham.

Nolan, D. (2007). A consistent reading of ‘Sylvan’s Box’, *Philosophical Quarterly* 57: 667–73.

Nolan, D. (2013). Impossible worlds, *Philosophy Compass* 8: 360–72.

Nolan, D. (2014). Hyperintensional metaphysics, *Philosophical Studies* 171: 149–60.

Nolan, D. (2016a). Modal fictionalism, in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/fictionalism-modal/>.

Nolan, D. (2016b). The possibilities of history, *Journal of the Philosophy of History* 10: 441–56.

Nolan, D. (2017). Causal counterfactuals and impossible worlds, in H. Beebe, C. Hitchcock, and H. Price (eds.), *Making a Difference*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 14–32.

Nozick, R. (1981). *Philosophical Explanations*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.

Nute, D. (1975). Counterfactuals and the similarity of words, *Journal of Philosophy* 72: 773–8.

Paivio, A. (1986). *Mental Representation*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- Parry, W. (1933). Ein axiomensystem für eine neue art von implikation (analitische implikation), *Ergebnisse eines Mathematischen Kolloquiums* 4: 5–6.
- Parsons, T. (1980). *Nonexistent Objects*, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- (p.309)** Paul, L. A. (2009). Counterfactual theories, in H. Beebe, C. Hitchcock and P. Menzies (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Causation*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 158–84.
- Paul, L. A. (2004). Aspect causation, *Journal of Philosophy* 97: 235–56.
- Paul, L. A. and Hall, E. J. (2013). *Causation: A User's Guide*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Peano, G. (1889). *Arithmetices principia: nova methodo*, Fratres Bocca, Turin.
- Pelletier, J. (2003). Vergil and dido, *Dialectica* 57: 191–203.
- Pinker, S. (1980). Mental imagery and the third dimension, *Journal of Experimental Psychology* 109: 354–71.
- Plantinga, A. (1970). World and essence, *The Philosophical Review* 79: 461–92.
- Plantinga, A. (1974). *The Nature of Necessity*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Plantinga, A. (1976). Actualism and possible worlds, *Theoria* 42: 139–60.
- Priest, G. (1979). Logic of paradox, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 8: 219–41.
- Priest, G. (1987). *In Contradiction: A Study of the Transconsistent*, Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht.
- Priest, G. (1997a). Editor's introduction, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 38: 481–7.
- Priest, G. (1997b). Sylvan's Box, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 38: 573–82.
- Priest, G. (1998). What is so bad about contradictions?, *Journal of Philosophy* 95: 410–26.
- (p.310)** Priest, G. (2005). *Towards Non-Being*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Priest, G. (2008). *An Introduction to Non-Classical Logic*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Priest, G. (2014). *One: Being an Investigation Into the Unity of Reality and of its Parts, Including the Singular Object Which is Nothingness*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- Priest, G. (2016a). Thinking the impossible, *Philosophical Studies* 173: 2649–62.
- Priest, G. (2016b). *Towards Non-Being*, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Priest, G., Routley, R., and Norman, J. (eds.) (1989). *Paraconsistent Logic: Essays on the Inconsistent*, Philosophia Verlag, Munich.
- Proudfoot, D. (2006). Possible worlds semantics and fiction, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 35: 9–40.
- Proudfoot, D. (2018). Sylvan's bottle and other problems, *Australasian Journal of Logic* 15: 95–123.
- Pylyshyn, Z. (1973). What the mind's eye tells the mind's brain: A critique of mental imagery, *Psychological Bulletin* 80: 1–25.
- Pylyshyn, Z. (1981). The imagery debate: Analogue media versus tacit knowledge, *Psychological Review* 88: 16–45.
- Pylyshyn, Z. (2002). Mental imagery: In search of a theory, *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 25: 157–82.
- Quine, W. (1960). *Word and Object*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Quine, W. (1969). *Ontological Relativity and Other Essays*, Columbia University Press, New York.
- Quine, W. V. (1948). On what there is, *Review of Metaphysics* 2: 21–38.
- (p.311)** Rantala, V. (1982a). Impossible worlds semantics and logical omniscience, *Acta Philosophica Fennica* 35: 18–24.
- Rantala, V. (1982b). Quantified modal logic: Non-normal worlds and propositional attitudes, *Studia Logica* 41: 41–65.
- Rapaport, W. (1978). Meinongian theories and a russellian paradox, *Noûs* 12: 153–80.
- Rasmussen, M. (2015). Dynamic epistemic logic and logical omniscience, *Logic and Logical Philosophy* 24: 377–99.
- Reinert, J. (2018). The truth about impossibility, *Philosophical Quarterly* 68: 307–27.
- Rescher, N. and Brandt, R. (1980). *The Logic of Inconsistency. A Study in Non-Standard Possible Worlds Semantics and Ontology*, Blackwell, Oxford.

- Restall, G. (1993). Simplified semantics for relevant logics (and some of their rivals), *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 22: 481–511.
- Restall, G. (1995). Information flow and relevant logics, in J. Seligman and D. Westerståhl (eds.), *Logic, Language and Computation: The 1994 Moraga Proceedings*, CSLI Press, Stanford, CA, pp. 463–77.
- Restall, G. (1996). Truthmakers, entailment and necessity, *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 74: 331–40.
- Restall, G. (1997). Ways things can't be, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 38: 583–96.
- Restall, G. (1999). Negation in relevant logics (how I stopped worrying and learned to love the Routley star), in D. Gabbay and H. Wansing (eds.), *What Is Negation?*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 53–76.
- Ripley, D. (2012). Structures and circumstances: Two ways to fine-grain propositions, *Synthese* 189: 97–118.
- Roca-Royes, S. (2011). Conceivability and *de re* modal knowledge, *Noûs* 45: 22–49.
- (p.312)** Rodriguez-Pereyra, G. (2009). The disjunction and conjunction theses, *Mind* 118: 427–43.
- Rosen, G. (1990). Modal fictionalism, *Mind* 99: 327–54.
- Roush, S. (2010). Closure on skepticism, *Journal of Philosophy* 107: 243–56.
- Routley, R. (1980). *Exploring Meinong's Jungle and Beyond*, RSSS, Australian National University, Canberra.
- Routley, R. and Meyer, R. (1973). The semantics of entailment I, in H. Leblanc (ed.), *Truth, Syntax, and Semantics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 194–243.
- Routley, R., Plumwood, V., and Meyer, R. K. (1982). *Relevant Logics and their Rivals*, Ridgeview Publishing Company, Atascadero, CA.
- Russell, B. (1903). *The principles of mathematics*, George Allen and Unwin, London.
- Russell, B. (1904/1980). Letter to Frege, 12.12.1904, in G. Gabriel, H. Hermes, F. Kambartel, C. Thiel, and A. Veraart (eds.), *Gottlob Frege: Philosophical and Mathematical Correspondence*, Basil Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 166–70.
- Sainsbury, M. (2010). *Fiction and Fictionalism*, Routledge, London.
- Salmon, N. (1984). Impossible worlds, *Analysis* 44: 114–17.
-

- Salmon, N. (1986). *Frege's Puzzle*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Salmon, N. (1998). Nonexistence, *Noûs* 32: 277-319.
- Salmon, N. (2005). *Metaphysics, Mathematics, and Meaning: Philosophical Papers I*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Schacter, D. (1986). Implicit memory: History and current status, *Journal of Experimental Psychology* 13: 501-18.
- (p.313)** Schacter, D. and Tulving, E. (1994). What are the memory systems of 1994?, in D. Schacter and E. Tulving (eds.), *Memory Systems 1994*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 1-38.
- Schaffer, J. (2008). Knowledge in the image of assertion, *Philosophical Issues* 18: 1-19.
- Schaffer, J. (2009). On what grounds what, in D. Chalmers, D. Manley, and R. Wasserman (eds.), *Metametaphysics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Schipper, B. (2015). Awareness, in H. van Ditmarsch, J. Halpern, W. van der Hoek, and B. Kooi (eds.), *Handbook of Epistemic Logic*, College Publications, London, pp. 79-146.
- Schwitzgebel, E. (2015). Belief, in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/belief/>.
- Scott, D. (1970). Advice on modal logic, in K. Lambert (ed.), *Philosophical Problems in Logic*, Reidel, Dordrecht, pp. 143-73.
- Seegerberg, K. (1995). Belief revision from the point of view of doxastic logic, *Logic Journal of IGPL* 3: 535-53.
- Seegerberg, K. (2001). The basic dynamic doxastic logic of AGM, *Frontiers in Belief Revision* 22: 57-84.
- Shephard, R. and Metzler, J. (1971). Mental rotation of three-dimensional objects, *Science* 171: 701-3.
- Sider, T. (2002). The ersatz pluriverse, *Journal of Philosophy* 99: 279-315.
- Sider, T. (2005). Another look at Armstrong's combinatorialism, *Noûs* 39: 679.
- Siegel, S. (2006). Which properties are represented in perception?, in T. Gendler and J. Hawthorne (eds.), *Perceptual Experience*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 481-503.
- (p.314)** Siewert, C. (1998). *The Significance of Consciousness*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
-

Sillari, G. (2008). Quantified logic of awareness and impossible possible worlds, *Review of Symbolic Logic* 4: 514–29.

Smiley, T. (1959). Deducibility and entailment, *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 59: 233–54.

Soames, S. (1985). Lost innocence, *Linguistics And Philosophy* 18: 59–72.

Soames, S. (1987). Direct reference, propositional attitudes and semantic content, *Philosophical Topics* 15: 47–87.

Soames, S. (2008). Why propositions cannot be sets of truth-supporting circumstances, *Journal of Philosophical Logic* 37: 267–76.

Stalnaker, R. (1968). A theory of conditionals, in N. Rescher (ed.), *Studies in Logical Theory*, Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 98–112.

Stalnaker, R. (1976a). Possible worlds, *Noûs* 10: 65–75.

Stalnaker, R. (1976b). Propositions, in A. MacKay and D. Merrill (eds.), *Issues in the Philosophy of Language*, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, pp. 79–91.

Stalnaker, R. (1984). *Inquiry*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Stalnaker, R. (1991). Indicative conditionals, in F. Jackson (ed.), *Conditionals*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 136–54.

Stanley, J. (2005). *Knowledge and Practical Interest*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Stoljar, D. (2007). Two conceivability arguments compared, *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 107: 27–44.

Tennant, N. (1984). Perfect validity, entailment and paraconsistency, *Studia Logica* 43: 181–200.

(p.315) Thomas, N. (2014). Mental imagery, in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/mental-imagery>.

Thomasson, A. (1999). *Fiction and Metaphysics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Thomasson, A. (2007). Modal normativism and the methods of metaphysics, *Philosophical Topics* 35: 135–60.

Urquhart, A. (1972). Semantics for relevant logics, *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 37: 159–69.

- Van Bendegem, J.-P. (1994). Strict finitism as a viable alternative in the foundations of mathematics, *Logique et Analyse* 37: 23–40.
- Van Benthem, J. (1979). What is dialectical logic?, *Erkenntnis* 14: 333–47.
- Van Benthem, J. (2003). Logic and the dynamics of information, *Minds and Machines* 13: 503–19.
- Van Benthem, J. (2011). *Logical Dynamics of Information and Interaction*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Van Benthem, J. and Liu, F. (2007). Dynamic logic of preference upgrade, *Journal of Applied Non-Classical Logics* 17: 157–82.
- Van Benthem, J. and Martinez, M. (2008). The stories of logic and information, in J. van Benthem and P. Adriaans (eds.), *Handbook of the Philosophy of Information*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 217–280.
- Van Ditmarsch, H. (2005). Prolegomena to dynamic logic for belief revision, *Synthese* 147: 229–75.
- Van Ditmarsch, H., van der Hoek, W., and Kooi, B. (2008). *Dynamic Epistemic Logic*, Springer, Dordrecht.
- Van Fraassen, B. (1969). Facts and tautological entailments, *Journal of Philosophy* 66: 477–87.
- (p.316)** Van Inwagen, P. (1977). Creatures of fiction, *American Philosophical Quarterly* 14: 299–308.
- Van Inwagen, P. (1998). Modal epistemology, *Philosophical Studies* 92: 67–84.
- Van Inwagen, P. (2003). Existence, ontological commitment, and fictional entities, in M. Loux and D. Zimmerman (eds.), *Metaphysics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 131–57.
- Van Inwagen, P. (2008). McGinn on existence, *The Philosophical Quarterly* 58: 36–58.
- Van Leeuwen, N. (2016). The imaginative agent, in A. Kind and P. Kung (eds.), *Knowledge through Imagination*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 85–109.
- Vander Laan, D. (1997). The ontology of impossible worlds, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 38: 597–620.
- Vander Laan, D. (2004). Counterpossibles and similarities, in F. Jackson and G. Priest (eds.), *Lewisian Themes: The Philosophy of David K. Lewis*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 258–75.

- Varzi, A. (1997). Inconsistency without contradiction, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 38: 621–39.
- Voltolini, A. (2006). *How Ficta Follow Fiction: A Syncretistic Account of Fictional Entities*, Springer, Dordrecht.
- Walton, K. (1990). *Mimesis as Make-Believe: on the Foundations of Representational Arts*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Walton, K. (2003). Restricted quantification, negative existentials, and fiction, *Dialectica* 57: 239–42.
- Wansing, H. (1990). A general possible worlds framework for reasoning about knowledge and belief, *Studia Logica* 49: 523–39.
- (p.317)** Wansing, H. (2017). Remarks on the logic of imagination: A step towards understanding doxastic control through imagination, *Synthese* 194: 2843–61.
- Williamson, T. (1994). *Vagueness*, Routledge, London.
- Williamson, T. (1996). Knowing and asserting, *Philosophical Review* 105: 489–523.
- Williamson, T. (2000). *Knowledge and Its Limits*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Williamson, T. (2007). *The Philosophy of Philosophy*, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Williamson, T. (2010). Modal logic within counterfactual logic, in B. Hale and A. Hoffman (eds.), *Modality: Metaphysics, Logic, and Epistemology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 81–96.
- Williamson, T. (2017). Counterpossibles in semantics and metaphysics, *Argumenta*, 2(2): 195–226.
- Wilson, A. (2018). Grounding entails counterpossible non-triviality, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 96: 716–28.
- Wittgenstein, L. (1921/1922). *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, Routledge & Kegan Paul, London.
- Wittgenstein, L. (1953). *Philosophical Investigations*, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Wolterstorff, N. (1961). Referring and existing, *The Philosophical Quarterly* 11: 335–49.
- Woodward, R. (2011). Truth in fiction, *Philosophy Compass* 6: 158–67.

Wright, C. (1992). *Truth and Objectivity*, Harvard University Press, Harvard, MA.

(p.318) Yablo, S. (1993). Is conceivability a guide to possibility?, *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 53: 1–42.

Yablo, S. (2014). *Aboutness*, Princeton University Press, Princeton.

Yagisawa, T. (1988). Beyond possible worlds, *Philosophical Studies* 53: 175–204.

Yagisawa, T. (2001). Against creationism in fiction, *Noûs* 35: 153–72.

Yagisawa, T. (2010). *Worlds and Individuals, Possible and Otherwise*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Yagisawa, T. (2015). Impossibilia and modally tensed predication, *Acta Analytica* 30: 317–23.

Zalta, E. N. (1983). *Abstract Objects: An Introduction to Axiomatic Metaphysics*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht.

Zalta, E. N. (1988). *Intensional Logic and the Metaphysics of Intentionality*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Zalta, E. N. (1997). A classically-based theory of impossible worlds, *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 38: 640–60.

Access brought to you by: