

# List of Tables

1.1.	Current datasets of the Comparative Policy Agendas Project	12
2.1.	CAP Master Codebook major topic codes	25
4.1.	Datasets of the Australian Policy Agendas Project	53
5.1.	Belgian Agendas data	60
6.1.	Canadian Political Agendas datasets	69
7.1.	Datasets of the Croatian Agendas Project	77
7.2.	Intercoder reliability	79
8.1.	Datasets of the Danish Agendas Project	84
9.1.	Datasets of the French Agendas Project	92
10.1.	German political agendas	102
11.1.	Datasets of the Hungarian Policy Agendas Project	108
12.1.	Datasets of the Israeli Agendas Project	115
13.1.	Datasets of the Italian Agendas Project	123
14.1.	Overview of datasets of the Dutch Agenda-Setting Projects	131
16.1.	Portuguese Policy Agendas	148
17.1.	Parliamentary legislatures, 1982–2017	153
18.1.	Overview of Swiss Agendas datasets	162
19.1.	Overview of Turkish Agendas datasets	171
20.1.	Timeline of data releases for the UK Policy Agendas Project	180
21.1.	PAP-maintained datasets	186
22.1.	Datasets using the EUPAP approach	195
24.1.	The datasets of the Pennsylvania Policy Database Project	212
25.1.	Kurtosis of percent-percent change in the public agenda, 1993–2012	228
25.2.	Correlations of the public and policy agendas (executive speeches), 1993–2012	234
27.1.	Descriptive statistics: Share (proportion) of attention for each issue per country across all recorded protest events per country	265
27.2.	Correlation between protest agendas (cross-sectional, aggregated over the entire research period)	266

## List of Tables

---

27.3.	Correlation between protest agendas across time (cross-sectional, aggregated across (overlapping) months)	267
27.4.	Mean comparisons between monthly protest attention for defense and civil rights and liberties before and after 9/11 (Twin Tower attacks in the United States)	268
28.1.	Presence of major topics (shares) per country	277
28.2.	Correlation between countries (aggregated agendas)	278
28.3.	Correlation between countries (monthly-level data)	278
28.4.	Explaining issue attention	278
29.1.	Codification of oral questions by CAP	283
30.1.	Generating the policy agendas macro categories	305
30.2.	Descriptive statistics, N = 4718	308
30.3.	Regression results from a zero-inflated negative binomial	309
31.1.	Convergence in the distribution of issue attention on Europeanized PQs across countries	327
31.A.1.	Country data	329
32.1.	Data sources of executive speeches	344
32.2.	Vertical mechanism: Multilevel effect	346
32.3.	Horizontal mechanism: Issue competition	349
32.A.1.	Subtopics included in the data	353
32.B.1.	Summary of attention to environment in four countries	354
33.1.	Relevant cases of drift identified using the US CAP	362
33.2.	Relevant cases of drift identified using the Spain CAP	363
34.1.	Comparison of CAP and CMP party attention to education (paired t-test and correlations)	379
34.2.	Comparison of CAP and CMP party attention to crime and justice (paired t-test and correlations)	380
34.3.	Comparison of CAP and CMP party attention to European integration (paired t-test and correlations)	381
34.4.	Comparison of CAP and CMP party attention to environment (paired t-test and correlations)	383
34.5.	Comparison of CAP and CMP party attention to immigration (paired t-test and correlations)	384